

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ISRAEL MALDONADO RAMIREZ,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
KHALE, et al.,  
Defendant.

1:22-cv-01653-ADA-HBK (PC)

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
MISCELLANEOUS RELIEF

(Doc. No. 6)

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's construed motion for miscellaneous relief filed January 12, 2023. (Doc. No. 6). Plaintiff, a prisoner, initiated this action by filing a pro se complaint on December 30, 2022. (Doc. No. 1). The motion is handwritten and mostly illegible and incomprehensible. This Court's Local Rules require all documents for filing to be legible. E.D. Ca. Local Rule 130(b).

To the extent discernable, Plaintiff refers to an affidavit of indigency and request to proceed *in forma pauperis* he submitted in this case. On February 1, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on his Complaint. (Doc. No. 10). Thus, to the extent that Plaintiff advises he submitted his affidavit to the clerk for filing, the Court confirms it was received, docketed, and granted.

The Court otherwise declines to address the remainder of the motion, which makes vague references to judges who apparently made rulings in other cases filed by Plaintiff. Plaintiff is

1 duly warned that the Court will tolerate any disparaging and/or ad hominem attacks directed at  
2 any judge with this or any other court. Any further such filings may result in sanctions, including  
3 dismissal of the case. Plaintiff is advised that to the extent he requests any relief, it must be made  
4 by motion. Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b)(1). Further, Plaintiff must “state with particularity the grounds  
5 for seeking the order; and state the relief sought.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b)(1)(B), (C). Because the  
6 Court cannot discern for what purpose Plaintiff submitted the construed motion, the Court will  
7 deny the motion. The Court will conduct a screening on Plaintiff’s complaint under 28 U.S.C. §  
8 1915A in due course.

9 According, it is **ORDERED**:

10 Plaintiff’s construed motion for miscellaneous relief (Doc. No. 6) is DENIED.

11  
12 Dated: February 2, 2023

  
HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE